# Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

# Fifth Appellate District

### F042015 People v. Ranger Insurance Company

The judgment is affirmed. Gomes, J.

We concur: Ardaiz, P.J.; Dawson, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

### F040203 People v. Green

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

## F042399 People v. San Juan

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

## F042399 People v. San Juan

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

#### F042056 Cappadocia v. Cappadocia

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the aboveentitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

#### F041168 People v. Hill

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

# Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

# Fifth Appellate District

### F039853 People v. Clevenger

The judgment is affirmed. Ardaiz, P.J.

We concur: Wiseman, J.; Cornell, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

### F041408 People v. Tucker

The true finding on the strike allegation based upon the 1955 prior burglary conviction is reversed. The sentence imposed upon appellant is reversed. The section 4502, subdivision (a) conviction and the true findings on all special allegations except the strike allegation based upon the 1955 prior burglary conviction are affirmed. The matter is remanded to the trial court. If the prosecutor elects in writing, no later than 30 days after this court's remittitur is filed in the trial court, to retry appellant on the strike allegation based upon the 1955 prior burglary conviction, the trial court shall conduct further proceedings on that allegation alone and shall resentence appellant as and when appropriate. If the prosecutor does not so elect, the trial court shall forthwith resentence appellant on the section 4502, subdivision (a) conviction and on all special allegations other than the strike allegation based upon the 1955 prior burglary conviction. Dibiaso, Acting P.J.

We concur: Vartabedian, J.; Cornell, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]